

**NAGALAND INFORMATION COMMISSION
OLD SECRETARIAT COMPLEX
POST BOX NO. 148
NAGALAND
KOHIMA – 797001**

No./NIC/Compln-50/2010/

Dated Kohima, the 11th August, 2010

Complainant : **Shri Prasielie Pienyu, DCC Office
Office Congress Bhavan, Kohima.
Shri R. Paphino Ex-MLA, Kohima DCC
Office Congress Bhavan, Kohima.**

Respondent : **Department of Rural Development,
Public Authority** : **Nagaland , Office of the Project Director,
District Rural Development Agency,
Kohima, Nagaland.**

Date of Hearing : **11.8.2010**

Present : **Shri V. Lhoungu, PIO
Shri R. Paphino, Complainant**

Facts

The Commission received complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005 from Shri Prasielie Pienyü and Shri R. Paphino on 4.6.2010 stating that they had submitted RTI Application seeking information to the PIO cum Project Director, DRDA Kohima on 29.3.2010, but the information furnished by the PIO are misleading and incorrect.

The complainants had in their RTI application of 29.3.2010 sought 5 items of information. The PIO had furnished information and response to all the 5 items on 12.5.2010.

During the hearing on 11.8.2010 the complainant Shri R. Paphino stated that they have no complaint about the informations received in respect of items 1,2,3 and 4 of their application. He submitted that the PIO in his reply to item No. 5 - The amount incurred for implementation of 'General work' category of NREG programme in 7 Assembly Constituencies as listed in their application, in the year 2008 – 2009 and 2009 – 2010, had informed that "General work are not implemented Constituency wise", whereas he had enclosed statements showing the list of Projects under NREGS General works during 2008-2009 Constituency wise. Hence the informations given are incorrect and misleading.

The PIO Shri V. Lhoungu stated that there were 2 types of works under NREGA (1) Pachayat/VDB (2) General. The General works are not implemented constituency wise but Block wise. However during 2008-2009 there was convergence LADP and General activities which were executed Constituency wise and the statements furnished are relating to these convergence activities of 2008 – 2009. The convergence activities were discontinued from 2009 – 2010.

The complainant submitted that NREGA has 2 components of Wage and Material content, that the money does not percolate down to the grassroot level in the State as envisaged in the NREGA. They stated that in view of the explanation by the PIO that the convergence activities were for one year and thereafter discontinued, they would not pursue the matter further.

Hence the complaint is disposed off.

Pronounced in open proceedings today.

Copy be given to the parties.

Copy be sent to

1. Commissioner & Secretary, Rural Development, Nagaland, Kohima.
2. Director, Rural Development, Nagaland, Kohima.

Sd/-

P. Talitemjen Ao, IAS (Rtd)
Chief Information Commissioner,
Nagaland

Sd/-

Rev. Dr. W. Pongsing Konyak
State Information Commissioner,
Nagaland

Sd/-

Dr. Kuhoi K Zhimomi
State Information Commissioner,
Nagaland.

Authenticated by

Under Secretary
Nagaland Information Commission
Kohima